

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 6529		
10/675,560	09/30/2003	Scott Edward Kent	DP-310808			
7590 09/21/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
PATRICK M. GRIFFIN			ZEC, FILIP			
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Staff, Mail Code: 480-410-202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 5052 Troy, MI 48007-5052			3744			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						11 /1 /				
		Applic	ation No.		Applicant(s)	1/00				
Office Action Summary		10/675	5,560		KENT ET AL.	V				
		Exami	ner	/	Art Unit					
		Filip Z			3744					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ation appears on	the cover shee	et with the c	orrespondence a	ddress				
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, maintenance may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provisions of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after than three months after the provision of the provisi	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. lays, a reply within the sory period will apply and by statute, cause the sory.	event, however, ma statutory minimum o d will expire SIX (6) application to becon	ay a reply be tim of thirty (30) days MON/THS from ne ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this O (35 U.S.C. & 133)	∍ly. communication.				
Status	, , ,									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 28 lune 2004	1							
2a)□										
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meri 										
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from (
Applicat	ion Papers									
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the EThe drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the CThe oath or declaration is objected to be	<u>2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ In to the drawing(s e correction is requ	i) be held in abe uired if the draw	eyance. See ving(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have be cuments have be the priority docur Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received i ments have be ule 17.2(a)).	n Applicatio	on No d in this National	Stage				
Attachmen	c(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			ew Summary (
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date				e stent Application (PT0	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,295,832 to Kate et al., in view of U.S. Patent 6,167,720 to Chisnell and U.S. Patent 6,223,556 to De Kuester et al. Kate discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, a heat exchanger (1, FIG. 2), having a header pipe (6) and a receiver tank (2) comprising of an end closure (21), a desiccant cartridge assembly comprising of a tube and a desiccant container (16, 17 and 23), said assembly having a retention clip centrally located on the inside tube of the assembly (16A, FIG. 7) used to center it inside of the outer tube and also being able to be put together during the brazing process (col 9, lines 43-52), said assembly also containing a filter member (22) being able to withstand the brazing process, substantially as claimed with the exception of specifically claiming that the desiccant material and end closure are able to withstand the brazing process. Chisnell (FIG.'s 2 and 3) shows the desiccant material being able to withstand the brazing process to be old in the refrigeration art. De Kuester shows the end closure (col 3, lines 49-53 and lines 65-66) brazed

Application/Control Number: 10/675,560

Art Unit: 3744

onto the desiccant holder also to be old in the refrigeration art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teaching of Chisnell and De Kuester to modify the system of Kate, by having a desiccant material and end closure being able to withstand the brazing process in order to have a solid desiccant baffle instead of a lose desiccant particles contained in a bag. The solid desiccant baffle is not easily damaged during assembly and testing as is the fragile bag that is used to hold loose desiccant particles in prior art. During assembly of a desiccant system, the housing is subjected to a brazing oven at extremely high temperatures. The solid desiccant baffle is capable of withstanding this high heat, whereas a fragile bag containing loose desiccant cannot (col 4, lines 14-23).

Page 3

Art Unit: 3744

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Filip Zec whose telephone number is (703) 306-3446 or, starting mid November, (571) 272-4815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, with the exception of every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597 or, starting mid November, on (571) 272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Filip Zec Examiner Art Unit 3744

FZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700